Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,031	SURAQUI, DANIEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leonid Shapiro	2629
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Leonid Shapiro.	(3)	
(2) Edward Langer.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 January 2007.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference		
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	· •]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	·
Claim(s) discussed: <u>26 and 36</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Delete claim 36 and change dependency on claim 26 by Examiner Amendment</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Lun	ly

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required